

**Definition 13.1.** The discriminant  $\Delta(B'/A)$  is defined to be ideal generated by the determinants of all matrices  $M = [T_{L/K}(w_i w_j)]$  as  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  range over all bases for  $L$  consisting of elements contained in  $B'$ .

**Example 13.2.** The reason that we need to talk about the discriminant relative to  $A$  is that  $B'$  could be defined over two different Dedekind domains. For example, we could take  $B' = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{7}]$  which is an extension of  $\mathbb{Z}$  as well as of  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{7}]$ . The various discriminants  $\Delta(B'/\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\Delta(B'/\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}])$ , and  $\Delta(B'/\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{7}])$  may all be different.

One nice fact about discriminants is that they can be computed locally. We have the following.

**Proposition 13.3.** *With notation as throughout lecture, let  $S$  be a multiplicative subset of  $A$  not containing 0. Then*

$$S^{-1}A\Delta(B'/A) = \Delta(S^{-1}B'/S^{-1}A).$$

*Proof.* Since any basis with elements in  $B'$  is also in  $S^{-1}B'$ , it is obvious that

$$S^{-1}A\Delta(B'/A) \subseteq \Delta(S^{-1}B'/S^{-1}A).$$

Similarly, given a basis  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  for  $L/K$  contained in  $S^{-1}B'$ , see that the basis  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  where  $w_i = sv_i$  is contained in  $B'$  for some  $s \in S$ . Now

$$\det(T_{L/K}(w_i w_j)) = s^n \det(T_{L/K}(v_i v_j)),$$

so  $S^{-1}A\Delta(B'/A) \supseteq \Delta(S^{-1}B'/S^{-1}A)$ . □

We know that  $\Delta(B'/A)$  is an ideal  $I$ . If  $I = \prod_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}$ , then  $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i} I = \mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}$ , so to figure out what  $\Delta(B'/A)$  is, all we have to do is figure out what  $\Delta(S^{-1}B'/S^{-1}A)$  is for  $S = A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ .

The trace also behaves well with respect to reduction. Recall that whenever we have a finite integral extension of a field, we can define a trace. We'll apply that with the field  $k = A/\mathfrak{p}$  for a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $A$ . Since this computation is local, we will work over  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  (which is a DVR). This is just for simplicity, since we have  $B'/\mathfrak{p}B' \cong S^{-1}B'/S^{-1}B'\mathfrak{p}$ , so it isn't hard to see that the local computation gives the computation over  $A$ .

**Lemma 13.4.** *Let  $A$  and  $B'$  be as usual. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a maximal prime of  $A$ , let  $k = A/\mathfrak{p}$ , let  $S = A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ , and let  $\phi : S^{-1}B' \rightarrow S^{-1}B'/S^{-1}B'\mathfrak{p}$  be*

the usual quotient map. Let us denote  $S^{-1}B'/S^{-1}B'\mathfrak{p}$  as  $C$ . Then for any  $y \in S^{-1}B'$ , we have  $\phi(T_{L/K}(y)) = T_{C/k}(\phi(y))$ .

*Proof.* Note that since  $S^{-1}B'$  is in  $S^{-1}B$ , which is Noetherian, we see that  $S^{-1}B'$  is a finitely generated  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module. Thus, it is a free  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module (since  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a DVR and thus a PID) of rank  $[L : K]$ , so  $\dim C = [L : K] = n$ . Let  $\bar{w}_1, \dots, \bar{w}_n$  be a basis for  $C$  over  $k$  and pick  $w_i \in B'$  such that  $\phi(w_i) = \bar{w}_i$ . Since the  $\bar{w}_i$  are linearly independent, the  $w_i$  must be as well. To see this, suppose that  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i w_i = 0$  for  $a_i \in S^{-1}B'$  (remember that everything in  $L$  is  $x/a$  for  $x \in B'$  and  $a \in A$ ). By dividing through by a power of a generator  $\pi$  for  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}\mathfrak{p}$ , we can assume that not all of the  $a_i$  are in  $S^{-1}B'\mathfrak{p}$ . This means then that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \phi(a_i)\bar{w}_i = 0$ , with some  $\phi(a_i) \neq 0$ , which is impossible. Now, we are essentially done, since we can define the trace of any  $y \in B'$  with respect to this basis. We have

$$yw_i = \sum_{j=1}^n m_{ij}w_j$$

with  $m_{ij} \in A$ , and

$$\phi(y)\bar{w}_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \phi(m_{ij})\bar{w}_j.$$

Hence,

$$\phi(T_{L/K}(y)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(m_{ii}) = T_{C/k}(\phi(y)).$$

□

When  $B$  is the integral closure of  $A$  in  $L$ , and  $\mathfrak{p}$  is maximal in  $A$ , we can write

$$\mathfrak{p}B = \mathfrak{q}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{q}_m^{e_m}.$$

If  $e_i > 1$  for some  $i$ , then we say that  $\mathfrak{p}$  *ramifies* in  $B$ . When  $B = A[\alpha]$ , we know that  $\mathfrak{p}$  ramifies in  $B$  if and only if  $\Delta(B/A) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . That is true more generally.

**Theorem 13.5.** *Let  $B$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in  $L$  and let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be maximal in  $A$ . Then  $\Delta(B/A) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{p}$  ramifies in  $B$  or  $B/\mathfrak{q}$  is inseparable over  $A/\mathfrak{p}$  for some prime  $\mathfrak{q}$  such that  $\mathfrak{q} \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$ .*

*Proof.* It will suffice to prove this locally, that is to say, it will suffice to replace  $A$  with  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $B$  with  $S^{-1}B$  where  $S = A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ . As in the previous Lemma, we write  $k = A/\mathfrak{p}$  and  $C = B/\mathfrak{p}B$  and let

$$\phi : B \longrightarrow B/\mathfrak{p}B$$

Also, as in that Lemma let  $\bar{w}_1, \dots, \bar{w}_n$  be a basis for  $C$  over  $k$  and pick  $w_i \in B$  such that  $\phi(w_i) = \bar{w}_i$ . It is clear then that

$$A_{\mathfrak{p}}w_1 + \dots + A_{\mathfrak{p}}w_n + \mathfrak{p}B = B,$$

so by Nakayama's Lemma, the  $w_i$  generate  $B$  as an  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  module. From the Lemma above we have  $T_{L/K}(w_i w_j) = T_{C/k}(\bar{w}_i \bar{w}_j)$ , so the matrix  $M = [T_{C/k}(\bar{w}_i \bar{w}_j)]$  represents the form  $(x, y) = T_{C/k}(xy)$  on  $C/k$ . Let us now decompose  $C/k$  as ring, we have

$$C \cong B/\mathfrak{p}B \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^m B/\mathfrak{q}_i^{e_i}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{p}B = \mathfrak{q}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{q}_m^{e_m}.$$

If  $e_i > 1$ , then any element  $z \in C$  such that  $z = 0$  in every coordinate but  $i$  and has  $i$ -th coordinate in  $\mathfrak{q}_i$ , has the property that  $z^{e_i} = 0$ . Furthermore the set of such  $z$  forms an ideal. This means  $T_{C/k}(zx) = 0$  for all  $x \in C$ , by your homework. Thus, the pairing

$$(x, y) = T_{C/k}(xy)$$

is degenerate, which means that  $\Delta(B/A)$  is 0 zero modulo  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

If  $e_i = 1$  for every  $i$ , then

$$C \cong B/\mathfrak{q}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus B/\mathfrak{q}_m.$$

The trace form  $(x, y) = T_{C/k}(xy)$  decomposes into a sum of forms

$$(a, b) = T_{(B/\mathfrak{q}_i)/k}(ab).$$

Now,  $(a, b) = T_{(B/\mathfrak{q}_i)/k}(ab)$  is nondegenerate if and only if  $B/\mathfrak{q}_i$  is separable over  $k$ . Since a direct sum of forms is nondegenerate if and only if each form is nondegenerate, our proof is complete.  $\square$

Here is a simple and easy to prove fact comparing the discriminants of different subrings  $B$  and  $B'$  of  $L$

**Proposition 13.6.** *Let  $B' \subset B$  where  $B$  and  $B'$  are as usual (we will usually take  $B$  to be the integral closure of  $A$  in  $L$ ). Suppose that  $B$  has a basis  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  as an  $A$ -module and that  $B'$  has a basis  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  as an  $A$ -module. Writing*

$$w_i = \sum_{\ell=1}^n n_{i\ell} a_{\ell},$$

and letting  $N$  be the matrix  $[n_{i\ell}]$ , we have

$$(1) \quad \det[T_{L/K}(w_i w_j)] = (\det N)^2 \det[T_{L/K}(v_i v_j)].$$

*Proof.* Now,

$$\mathbb{T}_{L/K}(w_i w_j) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n n_{i\ell} n_{jk} \mathbb{T}_{L/K}(v_\ell v_k).$$

A bit of linear algebra shows that this is exactly the same as the  $ij$ -th coordinate of the matrix  $N^t M N$  where  $M = [\mathbb{T}_{L/K}(v_i v_j)]$ . Equation 1 follows. I gave an easier explanation on the board.  $\square$