Math 430

Notes from Class 10/02

More on factoring primes in extensions. Remember we can only do this well for separable extensions.

Let's begin with the following Lemma, the proof of which is obvious.

Lemma 11.1. Let I be an ideal in Dedekind domain. Write

$$I = \mathfrak{q}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{q}_m^{e_m}$$

where the q_i are distinct primes. Then

$$e_i = \min\{m \mid R_{\mathfrak{q}_i}(\mathfrak{q}_i)^m \subseteq R_{\mathfrak{q}_i}I\}.$$

Proposition 11.2. Let A be Dedekind. Let \mathfrak{p} be a maximal ideal of A and let α be an integral element of a finite separable extension of the field of fractions of A. Suppose that G is the minimal monic for α over A and that the reduction mod \mathfrak{p} of G, which we call \overline{G} factors as

$$\bar{G} = \bar{g}_1^{r_1} \cdots \bar{g}_m^{r_m}$$

with the \bar{g}_i distinct, irreducible, and monic. Then choosing monic $g_i \in A[x]$ such that $g_i \equiv \bar{g}_i \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$, we have

(1) $\mathbf{q}_i = A[\alpha](g_i(\alpha), \mathbf{p})$ is a prime for each *i*; and

(2) r_i is the smallest positive integer such that

$$R_{\mathfrak{q}_i}(\mathfrak{q}_i)^{r_i} \subseteq R_{\mathfrak{q}_i}\mathfrak{p}$$

Proof. The proof is quite simple. Note that $A[\alpha]$ is isomorphic to A[x]/G(x). We work in the ring $A[\alpha]/\mathfrak{p}A[\alpha] \cong A[x]/(G(x),\mathfrak{p})$, which is isomorphic to

$$(A/\mathfrak{p})/(\bar{G}(x)) \cong \sum_{i=1}^m (A/\mathfrak{p})[x]/\bar{g}_i(x)^{r_i}.$$

Since $\bar{g}_i(x)$ is irreducible in $(A/\mathfrak{p})[x]$, we see that

$$(A/\mathfrak{p})[x]/\bar{g}_i(x)$$

is a field, so q_i is prime ideal since

$$A[\alpha]/\mathfrak{q}_i \cong (A/\mathfrak{p})[x]/\bar{g}_i(x).$$

Now,

$$A[\alpha]_{\mathfrak{q}_i}/A[\alpha]_{\mathfrak{q}_i}\mathfrak{p} \cong (A/\mathfrak{p})[x]/\bar{g}_i(x)^{r_i},$$

so r_i is the smallest integer such that

$$g_i(x)^{r_i} \subseteq R_{\mathfrak{q}_i}\mathfrak{p}.$$

Corollary 11.3. (*Kummer*) With notation as above, if $A[\alpha]$ is Dedekind, then

$$A[\alpha]\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{q}_1^{e_1}\cdots\mathfrak{q}_m^{e_m}.$$

Proof. Immediate from the lemma and proposition above.

We will also want to deal with rings that are not Dedekind domains. Frequently, we will want to take rings of the form $A[\alpha]$ and try to decide whether or not they are in fact Dedekind. Here's a useful fact.

Proposition 11.4. With notation as above, if $r_i = 1$ then the prime $A[\alpha](\mathfrak{p}, g_i(\alpha))$ is invertible.

Proof. For each j, select a monic polynomial $g_j \in A[x]$ such that $g_j \equiv g_j \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$. Since

$$g_1(x)^{r_1}\cdots g_m(x)^{r_m} \equiv f(x) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$$

it is clear that

(1) $g_1(\alpha)^{r_1} \cdots g_m(\alpha)^{r_m} \in \mathfrak{p},$

since α is a root of f. Furthermore, we know that for $j \neq i$, we must have that $g_i(\alpha)$ and $g_j(\alpha)$ are coprime. Now, suppose that $r_i = 1$ for some i; let $\mathfrak{q}_i = A[\alpha](g_i(\alpha), \mathfrak{p})$. When we localize at \mathfrak{q}_i , all of the $g_j(\alpha)$ for which $j \neq i$ become units. Thus, (1) has the form $g_i(\alpha)u \in \mathfrak{p}$ for ua unit, so $g_i(\alpha) \subset A[\alpha]\mathfrak{p}$. We know that there exists a $\pi \in A$ such that $A_{\mathfrak{p}} = A_{\mathfrak{p}}\pi$ since \mathfrak{p} is invertible in A. Then

$$A[\alpha]_{\mathfrak{q}_i}(g_i(\alpha),\mathfrak{p}) = A[x]_{\mathfrak{q}_i}\pi$$

so q_i is invertible.

Note: In fact, it is possible to prove the following though the proof is more difficult.

Proposition 11.5. With notation as above, if $r_i = 1$ then the prime $A[\alpha](\mathfrak{p}, g_i(\alpha))$ is invertible. If $r_i > 1$, then \mathfrak{q}_i is invertible if and only if all the coefficients of the remainder mod g_i of G are not all in \mathfrak{p}^2 , i.e. if writing

$$G(x) = q(x)g_i(x) + r(x),$$

we have $r(x) \notin \mathfrak{p}^2[x]$.

Example 11.6. Let d be a square-free odd integer and let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. We know that $\mathbb{Z}[sqrtd]$ is a Dedekind domain if and only if d is congruent to 1 mod 4. Then $x^2 - d$ factors as $(x - 2)^2$ modulo 2. To see if the prime (2, x - 2) is invertible we divide x - 2 into $(x - 2)^2$. We get a remainder of $d^2 - d$, which is divisible by 2^2 exactly when d is congruent to 1 modulo 4. So the prime above 2 is not invertible in this case. It is not hard to see that all other primes in $\mathbb{Z}[sqrtd]$ are invertible

How can we tell which primes we have to worry about (by this, I mean those for which some r_i is greater than 1)? We can use something called the discriminant of a finitely generated integral extension of rings B over A. We will work with several formulations, all of which are equivalent. Here's the definition of the discriminant of a polynomial.

Definition 11.7. Let K be a field and let F be the monic polynomial $\mathbb{R}^{(n)}$

$$F(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0.$$

Then, writing

$$F(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - \alpha_i)$$

where α_i are the roots of F in some algebraic closure of K, the discriminant $\Delta(F)$ is defined to be

$$\Delta(F) = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \prod_{i \neq j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) = \prod_{i < j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2.$$

Why is this discriminant useful? Because of the following obvious fact:

 $\Delta(F) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow F$ does not have multiple roots.