

# MTH150 Midterm Exam 1 Solutions

February 19, 2007

- (A1) (a) i. proposition, false  
 ii. not a proposition, ambiguous (truth depends on the value of  $n$ )  
 iii. not a proposition, not declarative
- (b) i.  $T$   
 ii.  $F$   
 iii.  $T$   
 iv.  $T$

- (A2) (a) A contingency.

$p$	$q$	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$(\neg p) \wedge (\neg q)$	$[(\neg p) \wedge (\neg q)] \vee p$	$\{[(\neg p) \wedge (\neg q)] \vee p\} \rightarrow q$
$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$F$

- (b) A contradiction.

$p$	$q$	$p \oplus q$	$p \leftrightarrow q$	$(p \oplus q) \leftrightarrow (p \leftrightarrow q)$
$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$
$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$

- (A3) (a) i.  $F$  ( $0 > 1$ )  
 ii.  $T$  ( $4 > 3$ )  
 iii.  $T$  ( $n = 2$  is an example)  
 iv.  $F$  ( $n = 0$  is a counterexample)
- (b) i.  $F$  ( $\pm\sqrt{7} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ )  
 ii.  $T$  ( $n = 0$  is an example)  
 iii.  $F$  ( $n = \pm 1$  are two examples)
- (c) i.  $x = 0$  is a counterexample  
 ii.  $x = -0.5$  is a counterexample  
 iii.  $x = 0$  is a counterexample
- (A4) (a)  $T$  (given  $y$ ,  $x = y^2$  is an example)  
 (b)  $F$  ( $y = -1$  is a counterexample)  
 (c)  $T$  ( $x = 0$  is an example)  
 (d)  $T$  ( $x = 0$  is an example)  
 (e)  $F$  ( $y = 0$  is a counterexample)  
 (f)  $T$  (given  $x \neq 0$ ,  $y = 1/x$  is an example)

- (g)  $T$  (given  $x \neq 0$ ,  $y = 1/x$  is the only example)
- (h)  $T$  ( $x = 0$  is an example)
- (i)  $T$  ( $x = y = 0$  is an example)
- (j)  $T$  (this is the commutative law for addition of real numbers)
- (A5) (a) I want to prove the statement “ $\forall m, \forall n, ((m \text{ odd}) \wedge (n \text{ odd})) \rightarrow (mn \text{ odd})$ ” where the domain of each variable consists of all integers. I will use the method of *direct proof*.
- Let  $m$  and  $n$  denote arbitrary integers (so I can universally generalize and the end of the proof).
  - Assume  $m$  and  $n$  are odd. (I’m using the method of direct proof so I need to assert the hypotheses.)
  - Then  $m = 2k + 1$  and  $n = 2l + 1$  for some integers  $k$  and  $l$ . (That’s the definition of odd.)
  - Then  $mn = (2k + 1)(2l + 1) = 4kl + 2k + 2l + 1 = 2(2kl + k + l + 1)$ . (That’s algebra.)
  - So  $mn$  is odd. (That’s the definition of even.)
  - Thus the implication  $((m \text{ odd}) \wedge (n \text{ odd})) \rightarrow (mn \text{ odd})$  is true. (That’s what the method of direct proof guarantees us.)
  - Thus  $\forall m, \forall n, ((m \text{ odd}) \wedge (n \text{ odd})) \rightarrow (mn \text{ odd})$  is true. (That’s universal generalization.)
- (b) I want to prove the statement “For all collections of days, if the collection has 36 days, then at least 6 of those days fall on the same day of the week.” I will use the method of *proof by contradiction*. (The method of indirect proof is also applicable.)
- Consider an arbitrary collection of days (so I can universally generalize and the end of the proof).
  - Assume the collection has 36 days. (I am using the method of proof by contradiction, so I first need to proceed by the method of direct proof.)
  - Assume, contrary to what we want to show, that at most 5 of the days in the collection fall on the same day of the week. (I’m using the method of proof by contradiction, so I have to assume the negation of what I’m trying to prove.)
  - In particular, there are at most 5 Mondays, 5 Tuesdays, 5 Wednesdays, 5 Thursdays, 5 Fridays, 5 Saturdays, and 5 Sundays in my collection. So the number of days in total is at most  $(7)(5) = 35$ .
  - The previous step contradicts the assumption that there are 36 days.
  - Thus, the assumption that at most 5 of the days in the collection fall on the same day of the week must be wrong. I may assert that at least 6 of any chosen 36 days must fall on the same day of the week. (That’s what the method of proof by contradiction guarantees us.)
  - Thus the implication “if the collection has 36 days, then at least 6 of those days fall on the same day of the week” is true. (That’s what the method of direct proof guarantees us.)
  - Thus the statement “for all collections of days, if the collection has 36 days, then at least 6 of those days fall on the same day of the week” is true. (That’s universal generalization.)
- (A6) (a) The statement is true because 5 is a positive integer that equals a third the sum of the positive integers not exceeding it. Indeed,  $(1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5)/3 = 15/3 = 5$ . This proof is *constructive*.
- (b) I want to prove the statement “ $\forall x, |x| = |-x|$ ” where the domain of the variable  $x$  consists of all real numbers. I will use the method of *proof by cases*.
- Let  $x$  be an arbitrary real number (so I can universally generalize at the end of the proof).
  - Consider three cases.
    - Suppose  $x > 0$ . Then  $|x| = x$ . Also,  $-x < 0$  so that  $|-x| = -(-x) = x$ . In particular  $|x| = |-x|$ .
    - Suppose  $x = 0$ . Then  $|x| = 0$ . Also,  $-x = 0$  so that  $|-x| = 0$ . In particular,  $|x| = |-x|$ .
    - Suppose  $x < 0$ . Then  $|x| = -x$ . Also,  $-x > 0$  so that  $|-x| = -x$ . In particular,  $|x| = |-x|$ .

3. The desired result “ $|x| = |-x|$ ” holds in each case and, thus, is true. (That’s what the method of proof by cases guarantees us.)

4. Thus the statement “ $\forall x, |x| = |-x|$ ” is true. (That’s universal generalization.)

- (B1) (a) i.  $F$   
 ii.  $T$   
 iii.  $F$   
 iv.  $T$   
 v.  $T$

- (b) i. 0  
 ii. 1  
 iii. 3  
 iv. 5  
 v. 8

- (B2) (a)  $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$

- (b)  $\{0, 4\}$

- (c)  $\{1, 5\}$

- (d)  $\{2, 3, 6\}$

- (B3) (a)

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \overline{A \cap B} &\Leftrightarrow \neg(x \in A \cap B) && \text{(definition of complement)} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \neg[(x \in A) \wedge (x \in B)] && \text{(definition of intersection)} \\ &\Leftrightarrow [\neg(x \in A)] \vee [\neg(x \in B)] && \text{(DeMorgan's law)} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (x \in \overline{A}) \vee (x \in \overline{B}) && \text{(definition of complement)} \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in \overline{A} \cup \overline{B} && \text{(definition of union)} \end{aligned}$$

- (b)

$$\begin{aligned} x \in A \cap (B \cup C) &\Leftrightarrow (x \in A) \wedge (x \in B \cup C) && \text{(definition of intersection)} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (x \in A) \wedge [(x \in B) \vee (x \in C)] && \text{(definition of union)} \\ &\Leftrightarrow [(x \in A) \wedge (x \in B)] \vee [(x \in A) \wedge (x \in C)] && \text{(distributive law)} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (x \in A \cap B) \vee (x \in A \cap C) && \text{(definition of intersection)} \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C) && \text{(definition of union)} \end{aligned}$$

- (B4) (a)  $f(n) = 2n$

- (b)  $f(n) = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$

- (c)  $f(n) = \begin{cases} n+1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ n-1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$

- (d)  $f(n) = 0$

- (B5) (a)  $7(2)^n$ , geometric progression

- (b)  $7 + 6n$ , arithmetic progression

- (B6) (a) 999,999,999

- (b) 18